

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/420,720	09/420,720 10/20/1999		JENS-UWE JURGENSEN	450117-02106	3195
20999	7590	04/27/2004		EXAMI	NER .
		ENCE & HAUG	NGUYEN, DUNG X		
	FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL. V YORK, NY 10151			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
11211 1011	,			2631	11
				DATE MAILED: 04/27/2004	//

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	09/420,720	JURGENSEN ET AL.					
omee Action Cummary	Examiner	Art Unit					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	Dung X Nguyen	2631					
Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	vial die correspondence address -					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the period for reply will, by state that the main tearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of the od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31	March 2004.						
	nis action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow							
closed in accordance with the practice under	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 2, 5 - 9, 11, and 14 - 16 is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdom 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 2,5,8,9,11 and 14 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 6,7,15 and 16 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 20 October 1999 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ ne drawing(s) be held in abey ection is required if the drawir	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in riority documents have bee eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/C Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 					

Application/Control Number: 09/420,720

Art Unit: 2631

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed on March 31, 2004 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 2, 5, 8, 9, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dahlman et al. (US patent # 6,222,875 B1), further in view of Tsujimoto (US patent # 6,075,808).

Regarding claim 2, Dahlman et al. discloses:

- A plurality of despreading units for despreading (see blocks 50, 52 of figure 4) an input bitstream with spreading codes C_{scr} (column 3, lines 14 28);
- A set of k descrambling blocks (see blocks 60, 62 of figure 5) per dispreading unit, k being an integer larger than 1;

While Tsujimoto teaches:

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/420,720

Art Unit: 2631

- An input bitstream with different spreading codes (see blocks $103_{-0} - 103_{-n}$ of figure 3A and column 5, lines 59 - 62), the input data being supplied to the receive site by means of delay line (see column 5, lines 62 - 67);

A set of k descrambling units, k being an integer larger than 1 (see blocks $103_{-0}-103_{-n}$ of figure 3A and column 5, lines 59-62), are supplied with respective k scrambling codes (see column 5, lines 59-64).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine Dahlman et al. and Tsujimoto to fulfill the limitations required by the instant claimed invention for improving the technique of detecting variable data transmission (Dahlman et al., column 1, lines 6-9).

Regarding claim 5, Dahlman et al. discloses:

- At least one despreading for despreading (see blocks 50, 52 of figure 4) an input bitstream with spreading codes C_{scr} (column 3, lines 14-28);
- A set of k descrambling blocks (see blocks 60, 62 of figure 5) per dispreading unit, k being an integer larger than 1; and
- Channel estimators h_1^* , h_2^* for generating channel estimation values (see figure 5 and column 6, lines 9 10);
- Multiplying circuits (blocks 72, 74 of figure 5) for multiplying the descrambled process from blocks 60, 62 with channel estimation values h_{l}^{*} , h_{2}^{*} (column 6, lines 1 10).

While Tsujimoto teaches:

- An input bitstream with different spreading codes (see blocks $103_{-0} 103_{-n}$ of figure 3A and column 5, lines 59 62), the input data being supplied to the receive site by means of delay line (see column 5, lines 62 67);
- A set of k descrambling units, k being an integer larger than 1 (see blocks 103₋₀-103_{-n} of figure 3A and column 5, lines 59 62), are supplied with respective k scrambling codes (see column 5, lines 59 64).

Application/Control Number: 09/420,720

Art Unit: 2631

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine Dahlman et al. and Tsujimoto to fulfill the limitations required by the instant claimed invention for improving the technique of detecting variable data transmission (Dahlman et al., column 1, lines 6-9).

Regarding claims 8 and 9, respectively, Dahlman et al. and Tsujimoto differ from the instant claimed inventions that they do not state their inventions used in CDMA mobile communication system. However, Tsujimoto discloses its invention to produce a code division multiplex signal (column 5, lines 56 - 59), and the main point here is detecting the information symbols have been respectively spread with an identical spreading code and scrambled with different scrambling codes. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to implement Dahlman et al. and Tsujimoto to fulfill the limitations required by the instant claimed inventions for improving the technique of detecting variable data transmission (column 1, lines 10 - 52 of Tsujimoto).

Regarding claim 11, the limitations are analyzed in the same manner set forth as claim 2.

Regarding claim 14, the limitations are analyzed in the same manner set forth as claim 5.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 6, 7, 15, and 16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Contact Information

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung X. Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-4892. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:30 PM.

Application/Control Number: 09/420,720

Art Unit: 2631

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Ghayour Mohammad H. can be reached on (703) 306-3034. The fax phone numbers for this group is (703) 872-9314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3800.

DXN

April 19, 2004

9